

THE BAIS HAVAAD

HALACHA JOURNAL

Family, Business, and Jewish Life through the Prism of Halacha

VOLUME 5782 · ISSUE XLVI · PARSHAS KI SAVO



GRAVELY OFF COURSE: WILL DONALD TRUMP THE TAXMAN?

Adapted from the writings of Dayan Yitzhak Grossman

Business Insider reports:

The location of Ivana Trump's grave—near the first hole of the golf course at Trump National Golf Club—may have tax implications for the business owned by the former president.

Tax documents from the Trump Family Trust, published by ProPublica, show the trust sought to designate a property in Hackettstown, New Jersey, as a nonprofit cemetery company, though the course itself is 20 miles away in Bedminster...

Under New Jersey state tax code, any land that is dedicated to cemetery purposes is exempt from all taxes, rates, and assessments. Cemetery companies are also specifically exempt from paying any real-estate taxes, rates, and assessments or personal property taxes on their lands, as well as business taxes, sales taxes, income taxes, and inheritance taxes...

Trump has planned for at least a decade to build variations of a cemetery on the course...¹

¹ Katherine Tangelakis-Lippert and Isabella Zavarise. Ivana Trump was buried near the first hole of Trump National Golf Club. Her gravesite could offer tax breaks for the business. Business Insider. <https://www.businessinsider.com/ivana-trump-gravesite-trump-national-golf-club-tax-break-2022-7>.

This article considers several aspects of this situation from a halachic perspective.

THE TORAH OF TAXATION

By way of introduction, it is important to note that major early halachic authorities declared that the rules of taxation are not rooted in the "holy mountains"² of the Gemara, nor in pure logical reasoning (*sevara*), but rather in local prevailing custom (*minhag*).³ In other words, there is no one inherently correct system of taxation, and

(continued on page 2)

[businessinsider.com/ivana-trump-gravesite-trump-national-golf-club-tax-break-2022-7](https://www.businessinsider.com/ivana-trump-gravesite-trump-national-golf-club-tax-break-2022-7);

Cf. Jon. Skolnik. Graveyard grift? Ivana Trump's Bedminster burial site might be a secret tax windfall, experts say. Salon. <https://www.salon.com/2022/08/02/graveyard-grift-ivana-bedminster-burial-site-might-be-a-secret-windfall-experts-say/>;

Bess Levin. You'll Never Believe It but Donald Trump May Have Buried Ivana on His Golf Course for the Tax Break. Vanity Fair. <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2022/08/donald-trump-ivana-trump-cemetery-tax-breaks>;

Edward Helmore. Will Ivana help Donald Trump with tax breaks from beyond the grave? The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/jul/31/donald-ivana-trump-cemetery-golf-course-taxes>; Tom Norton. Donald Trump and Ivana's Burial 'Tax Break'—What We Do Know, What We Don't. Newsweek. <https://www.newsweek.com/donald-trump-ivanas-burial-tax-break-what-we-do-know-what-we-dont-1730396>.

² Tehillim 87:1.

³ Shu"t HaRashba *cheilek 4 siman 260*; Shu"t Maharam ben Baruch *defus Prague siman 106*.

Cf. Aviad Hakohein, Parshanus Davkanis Shel Dinei Hamas. Parshas Shmos, 5766 *gilyon 239*.

A PUBLICATION OF THE
BAIS HAVAAD HALACHA CENTER
105 River Ave. #301, Lakewood NJ 08701
1.888.485.VAAD (8223)
www.baishavaad.org
info@baishavaad.org
Lakewood • Midwest • Brooklyn • South Florida

לע"נ הרב יוסף ישראל
ב"ר משה גרוסמן זצ"ל

Dedicated in loving memory of
HaRav Yosef Grossman zt"l

The Bais Havaad
on the
Parsha
Bring the Parsha to Life!

PARSHAS KI SAVO

BLINDSIDED

Excerpted and adapted from a shiur by
Rav Ari Stauber

...Do not place a stumbling block before a
blind person, and you shall fear your G-d...

Vayikra 19:14

Cursed is he who misleads a blind person on
the way...

Devarim 27:18

Rashi interprets these *psukim* to refer to both causing another to sin and giving bad advice. Some *Acharonim*, including the Minchas Chinuch and the Mizrahi, infer from Rashi that the prohibition does not include literally tripping a blind man. This approach is also taken by the Rambam, Sefer Hachinuch, and Smag, who define the mitzvah as offering bad advice but do not mention physical tripping.

The Minchas Chinuch asks why these *Rishonim* ignored the simple meaning of the *pasuk*, despite the principle that *ein mikra yotzei midei pshuto* (a verse doesn't depart from its simple meaning). The Kli Yakar answers based on the conclusion of the *pasuk*—"and you shall fear your G-d"—which suggests that the deed in question is not something that is plain to see. The Tzeidah Laderech answers that the Torah uses the word *titein* (give) rather than *tasim* (place), indicating that the subject is something given, like advice, and not placed, like a physical stumbling block.

The Mishneh Lamelech holds that the prohibition in Kedoshim does not include tripping, but the curse in Ki Savo does.

Many *Rishonim* and *Acharonim*, including

(continued on page 2)

Family Court

Q May the *bais din* for *pruzbul* include *dayanim* who are related one to another, or related to the lender or borrowers?

A We noted recently in this forum that Sephardim follow the Shulchan Aruch and require for *pruzbul* a *bais din* that can extract money. They therefore must use a kosher *bais din*, without relatives. For Ashkenazim, who follow the Rama that any *b'nei Torah* can form a *bais din* for *pruzbul*, some *poskim* say that since the *bais din* isn't judging or deciding anything, it is like a *bais din* for *hataras*

(continued on page 2)

Q&A from the
BAIS HAVAAD
HALACHA HOTLINE
1.888.485.VAAD(8223)
ask@baishavaad.org

(continued from page 1)

communities are free to establish norms and rules as they see fit.

But this should not be taken too far: The Gemara does contain at least some rules of taxation,⁴ and there is a rich and complex body of post-Talmudic literature on the subject, establishing a variety of principles and rules in this area, some of which we discuss in this article. As the Trumas Hadeshen puts it, "These matters are **more** dependent on minhag than they are dependent on Torah law"⁵ (emphasis added), which is not to say that they are not dependent on Torah law at all. He proceeds, however, to expand the scope of minhag to include not merely minhagim established by scholars, but even those established by laymen.

It should further be noted that the classic Torah literature on taxation primarily concerns internal taxes levied by Jewish communities on their members (often to meet collective tax burdens imposed on the communities by external, non-Jewish governments), as opposed to taxation in the modern era, which consists of obligations imposed on individuals and corporations directly by governments. Nevertheless, the principles and rules in the classic literature may still be relevant to the tax systems of the modern era.

REAL PROPERTY

In the halachic literature on the topic, taxes were typically assessed on (business) property, not income. There is discussion, however, as to whether real estate is taxable.

R' Yosef Tov Elem (Bonfils) rules that taxes are not collected from⁶ land, because unlike lending money at interest or dealing in merchandise, which are highly profitable and do not require profit sharing with brokers and the like, the proceeds of farming must be shared with a sharecropper, and there are many potential misfortunes that can inhibit profitability, such as extreme heat or cold, insufficient or excessive rain, hail, and locusts.⁷

Similarly, the Maharam of Rothenburg records that "throughout our kingdom, we do not pay tax from real property." He initially does not offer a reason for this, but he subsequently explains that is because only business assets are taxed, and while it is initially unclear why he assumes that real property is not considered "business assets," he subsequently cites the explanation of R' Yosef Tov Elem.⁸

R' Yosef ibn Ezra, in his classic work on taxation, Masa Melech, discusses these responsa along with other sources at length. He begins by

4 See, e.g., Bava Basra 7b-8a.

5 Trumas Hadeshen *cheilek* 1 beginning of *siman* 342.

6 In the language of the Torah literature on the topic, the formulations "taxes are collected from" or "paid from," as opposed to "assessed on" or "paid on," are commonly used, and we have preserved this language in our translations.

7 Responsum printed in Shu"t Maharam ibid. *siman* 941.

8 Responsum cited in Mordechai Bava Basra *siman* 481.

establishing that

The consensus is that taxes are collected from funds with which a person does business and generates profit.

He then raises the question of whether taxes are collected from real property as well, and ultimately concludes that absent a custom to the contrary, they are not, for the reason given by R' Yosef Tov Elem:

And as to the law, this is the rule: A man who has a field or vineyard is exempt from paying tax from it even if it generates produce, since it depreciates due to unfortunate events, unless there is a local custom that we follow...⁹

He proceeds, however, to note that in his city of Salonika, Greece ("a Jewish metropolis"¹⁰), taxes were paid from fields and vineyards, since the need for revenue was great due to the overwhelming pressures of exile.

While the extent to which the rationales given by these authorities would apply to a golf course in United States today is debatable—on the one hand, the profitability of a golf course may indeed be impacted by extreme weather, while on the other hand, swarms of locusts are uncommon in New Jersey. As a practical matter, though, these concerns are moot, because there is certainly a well-established custom to tax real estate, both personal and commercial, and the halacha is clear that the rules of taxation in general, and the question of the taxability of real property in particular, are determined by minhag.

CHARITABLE ASSETS

The Rosh rules that charitable funds in an individual's possession are not taxable.¹¹ The Trumas Hadeshen rules similarly that one who designates funds for mitzvah purposes (such as the study of Torah, the marrying off of orphans, and the support of the poor) is exempt from taxes on these funds, "in order not to cause them to fail in the future"¹² (i.e., in order to avoid deterring charitable giving).

(This justification for the exemption of charitable funds from taxation is roughly analogous to what is today called the "subsidy" view, as opposed to the "tax base" view:

The tax base view...posits that charitable contributions are not income and are thus properly excluded from the normative tax base. On this view, the deduction is not a tax expenditure but rather a structural income defining feature of tax law. In contrast, the subsidy view posits that charitable contributions are income and that the income tax recognition of such contributions is therefore best understood as a form of state

9 Masa Melech (Yerushalayim 5749) *cheilek* 3 *sha'ar* 2 *mishpat* 1 pp. 98-100.

10 Shmuel I 20:19.

11 Shu"t HaRosh *klal* 13 *siman* 6.

12 See Chulin 54b.

(continued from page 1)

nedarim that may include relatives to one another or the petitioner. Others maintain that since a *pruzbul* makes it as if one gave over his debts for the *bais din* to collect on his behalf, it must



DAYAN YEHOSHUA GRUNWALD

be a *bais din* that can collect debts, so the *dayanim* mustn't be related to each other or the parties. Still others say that the *dayanim* can't be related to one another, because then it isn't a *bais din*, but they can be related to the parties.

(A related discussion is whether a *pruzbul* may be written at night. According to the view that the *dayanim* may be related to one another, it may, because a *bais din* that can rule is not required.)

As a matter of halacha, the Minchas Yitzchak (10:140), Sheivet Halevi (9:286), and R' Shlomo Zalman Auerbach (Shalmei Mo'ed) permit using *dayanim* related to one another or to the parties and writing a *pruzbul* at night, and they say this is the prevalent minhag. There were, however, some that were strict *lechat'chilah*.

subsidy for charities.¹³

The Trumas Hadeshen continues, however, by limiting this exemption to where the money is completely and irrevocably dedicated to charitable purposes. But where the donor retains rights to the capital, as a resource to be drawn upon should he or his descendants fall upon hard times, then it is "obvious" that he is not exempt and he must pay tax from them as from his other assets.¹⁴

It is clear, then, that while land dedicated completely to nonprofit funerary use would be exempt from taxation, a revenue-generating golf course in which one chose to bury his ex-wife would certainly not be.

13 Adam Parachin, The Charitable Contributions Deduction: A Tax Debate or a Question of Charity versus Government? p. 5. Tax Policy and Charities. The Urban Institute. Apr. 2013.

Cf. Roger Colinvaux, Brian Galle, and Eugene Steuerle. Evaluating the Charitable Deduction and Proposed Reforms. Tax Policy and Charities. The Urban Institute. Jun. 2012.

John Tyler. Charitable deduction as "subsidy": does it really mean what some think it means? Philanthropy Daily, Sara Barba and Brian Walsh. The Problematic Characterization of the Charitable Deduction as a Subsidy. Philanthropy Roundtable. Jul. 28, 2020

14 These rulings of the Rosh and Trumas Hadeshen are codified by Rama in Shulchan Aruch C.M. 163:3.

(continued from page 1)



the Ba'al Haturim, Sforno, Torah Temimah,

Chazon Ish, and R' Moshe Feinstein, understand that tripping is indeed included in the prohibition.



To become a corporate sponsor of the BHHJ or disseminate in memory/zechus of a loved one, email info@baishavaad.org

Elevate your inbox.

Scan here to receive the weekly email version of the Halacha Journal or sign up at www.baishavaad.org/subscribe

