Tune Out: The Objections to Music in Shul

October 20, 2022

Adapted from the writings of Dayan Yitzhak Grossman

In our previous article, we cited the staunch opposition of the Chasam Sofer and R' Mordechai Banet, published in Eileh Divrei Habris, to the use of musical instruments during davening. We begin this article by citing, from the same work, a remarkably emphatic adherent of this view.

## R' Yaakov Lorberbaum (the Nesivos Hamishpat)

I do not see (in the playing of the organ) any element whatsoever of mitzvah, and on the contrary, it is prohibited even during the week, since the opinion of the Rambam and Shulchan Aruch (O.C. 560:3) is that instrumental music is prohibited even not in the context of wine...[1]

(Rav Lorberbaum then raises and vigorously rebuts the argument that an alleged mitzvah of engendering joy during davening can override this prohibition:)

With regard to davening, where do we find that joy during davening is a mitzvah? On the contrary, it is clear and obvious that the primary object of our davening and supplication is atonement for sin...and how can we appear before the King of the world with musical instruments and joy, if we know that we are sinners?!

If people appear before a terrestrial king to beg for their lives due to having sinned against him, and accompanying them is a drum and harp, would not the king's wrath intensify, for joy, what does it accomplish[2] at a time when with weeping they will come and through supplications[3] to beg for their souls![4] But at a time when they have committed no sin, that is an appropriate time to appear with song and exultation.

So, too, with the Heavenly King: When the Bais Hamikdash stood, and "none lodged in Yerushalayim with sin on their hands," as it is said in Chulin,[5] there was certainly a mitzvah to rejoice with musical instruments, and so, too, at the simchas bais hasho'eivah, when they drew ruach hakodesh[6] and attained supernal wisdom and prophecy, was joy a mitzvah. But from the day that the Bais Hamikdash was destroyed and ruach hakodesh was no more[7] and prophecy ceased, we have nothing with which to achieve atonement, and all that remains with us is the speech of our lips to confess that which we have sinned regarding our souls,[8] for there is no man so wholly righteous (on earth that he [always] does good and never sins),[9] and how can we appear before Him with song and musical instruments? A sinner should not adorn himself, a sinner should not be proud,[10] and we are permitted only to arrange His praise and to pray in the manner of a sinner before a king of flesh and blood, who arranges the praise of the king and subsequently begs for his life with weeping and supplication...[11]

## R' Chaim Palagi

The subject of organs in the shul was addressed several decades later, in

the year 5620 (1860), by R' Chaim Palagi (at great length; his discussion runs to more than thirty-five pages in the 5757 edition).[12] From a summary:

Ray Palagi also addresses the underlying claim, that music in shul enhances davening. While it is true that music accompanied the sacrifices in the Bais Hamikdash in Yerushalayim in ancient times, Rav Palagi sees in this an argument against using music in contemporary shuls. He asks, when in history did music accompany the shul service? The First Bais Hamikdash was destroyed in Biblical times, but we do not find that any prophets incorporated music into the davening. Nor do we see anything resembling that from the Gemara or Rishonim, even though we have plenty of observances designed to commemorate Bais Hamikdash practices. On the one hand, davening—like all avodah—should emerge from happiness. "Serve Hashem with gladness" (Tehillim 100:2). On the other hand, the Mishnah (Brachos 30b) says that we must begin davening with a sense of seriousness (koved rosh). One opinion in the Gemara (ibid.) learns this from Chanah's davening, in which she is described as being "of bitter soul" (Shmuel I 1:10). Another learns it from Tehillim 5:8, "I will bow down to Your holy Bais Hamikdash in fear of You." Another reads Tehillim 29:2 as "Worship Hashem in fear of (becherdas, instead of behadras) holiness." The Gemara concludes by deducing it from Tehillim 2:11, "Serve Hashem with fear and rejoice with trembling."

Which is it? Should davening be recited in joy or in fear? Rav Palagi answers: both. We should be happy that we have the privilege to speak directly to the King. However, when approaching the King, we do so with fear, awe, and tears. The heart is happy, but the eye cries bitterly. Rav Palagi points out that Eliyahu Rabbah (8) says that Chizkiyahu was punished with illness because he davened about Sancheiriv with insufficient fear and submission to Hashem. Therefore, music has no place in davening. Any custom that mixes music with davening must have arisen due to errant chazzanim or wealthy laymen.

Furthermore, so many generations of great rabanim over many centuries have not included musical instruments in their shuls. If music is so necessary for davening, why didn't they use it for this holy purpose? Rav Palagi says that we can only conclude that this approach of using musical instruments in the shul is mistaken. Therefore, concludes Rav Palagi, we may not change the davening or introduce organs into shuls. And if a kehilah does use an organ, we should try with all our power to get them to stop.[13]

## R' Yosef Zechariah Stern

One final repudiation of the legitimacy of instrumental music in the shul and during davening is by R' Yosef Zechariah (the "Rav Hazocher") Stern of Shavel) in the year 5654 (1893), in the context of a discussion of the propriety of conducting weddings in shuls. This practice was heavily

debated in the nineteenth century, and often staunchly opposed by traditionalists of that era due to the practice's popularity among the Reformers and assimilationists, among other considerations.[14] Ray Stern's correspondent, R' Chaim Chizkiyahu Medini (the Sdei Chemed), had argued that the practice was problematic since even the non-Jews ("Muslims and Christians, except for Catholics") "do not bring musicians into their houses of worship[15] at any time," and when musicians accompanying a bride passed their houses of worship, "they ceased their playing until they passed far from [them]," since to do otherwise would be considered disrespectful. Accordingly, for Jews to hold weddings and play music in shuls would constitute a chillul Hashem.[16] Ray Stern strongly endorses this concern, and after citing various

precedents for the idea, he concludes:

And so, too, in our case regarding something (i.e., the playing of music) that they (the non-Jews) consider immoral to do in a place sanctified for praying and beseeching for mercy, and where it is appropriate to avoid anything that brings one to lordliness, as we are directed to stand in a low place, as it is written: "From the depths I called out to You."[17]

And even regarding the *avodas hakorbanos*, the normative view is that "the primary component of song is singing with the mouth, and instrumental music was performed merely to sweeten the sound of the singing," as in Arachin 11a. Regardless, in davening, which is the service of the heart, there is no place for melodies, but only the utterance of the formula (nusach) of the davening with solemnity (koved rosh)...

And although in war, when they were victorious, it was their custom to extol (Hashem) even with musical instruments, to inspire the hearts of the people, as is stated in Divrei Hayamim (II 20:21), there is no need to assume that the cymbals and musical instruments were (played) at the same time as the utterance of Hodu (laHashem ki tov ki le'olam chasdo). Also with regard to the song of Miriam (Shmos 15:20-21), it is possible that the cymbals and drums came before the song. In particular, there is no comparison between songs of praise and prayers of beseeching and supplication, which require solemnity. In any event, davening in shul with musical instruments is inherently prohibited and constitutes an attitude of pride and throwing off of the yoke, while the opposite is necessary: submissiveness and awe and solemnity. And there is the additional concern of public chillul Hashem, as his excellence has written.[18]

[1]A discussion of the various positions of the poskim on the scope and practical applicability of this prohibition is beyond the scope of this article; for comprehensive surveys of this topic, see R' Tuvia Freund, Be'invan Gzeiras Issur Shmias Klei Shir Bazman Hazeh, Kovetz Bais Aharon VeYisrael Year 11: Part 1-Issue 4 (64) pp. 103-118 (563-578), Part 2-Issue 5 (65) pp. 95-109 (725-739) and R' Chaim Jachter, Jewish Perspectives on Music (5759/1999), Kol Torah Vol. 8, Halachah, Jewish Perspectives on

Music (5764/2004), Kol Torah Vol. 13, Halachah (and Torah Passages in Song, Kol Torah Vol. 16, Halachah).

- [2]Koheles 2:2.
- [3]Yirmiyahu 31:8.
- [4]Esther 7:7.
- [5]I was unable to locate such a statement in Chulin, but it does appear in Tanchuma (Buber) Pinchas 13.
- [6]Yerushalmi Sukkah 5:1.
- [7]See Yoma 21b and Sotah 48b.
- [8]From Bemidbar 6:11.
- [9]Koheles 7:20.
- [10]Rosh Hashanah 26a (our text is בל יתנאה, whereas Rabeinu Chananel has בל יתגאה).
- [11]Eileh Divrei Habris pp. 78-79.
- [12]Lev Chaim cheilek 2 siman 9.
- [13]R' Gil Student's summary of the relevant portion of Rav Palagi's analysis in Against Change: Organs in French Shuls (Torah Musings). [14]See Shu"t Chasam Sofer E.H. cheilek 1 siman 98; Shemesh Marpei, Shu"t simanim 80-81; Tiferes Yisrael, Hakdamah leSeder Mo'ed, Klalei Smachos gzeirah 30 os 2; Shu"t Imrei Eish O.C. simanim 9-10; Shu"t Maharam Schick E.H. siman 87; Shu"t Ksav Sofer E.H. simanim 47 and 106; Shu"t Divrei Malkiel cheilek 5 siman 205; Sdei Chemed cheilek 7 Ma'areches Chasan Vekallah os 1 pp. 5-13. Cf. Shu"t Igros Moshe E.H. cheilek 1 siman 93; Shu"t Yaskil Avdi cheilek 6 E.H. siman 1; Shu"t Yabia Omer cheilek 3 E.H. siman 10; Chevel Nachalaso 21:49; R' Noam Dvir Meisels, Ha'im Mutar Lehis'chatein BeVais Haknessess?; Sha'arei Nisuin (Bnei Brak 5759) pp. 205-10 (I am indebted to my friend R' Moshe Walter for bringing this work to my attention).
- [15]בית תפל[ו]תם, presumably vowelized as bais tiflasam or bais tiflusam (their house of vapidity), a play on words from bais tefilasam or bais tefilosam, (their house of prayer), and a common term for houses of foreign worship.
- [16]Sdei Chemed ibid. p. 7 s.v. Vezos.
- [17]Tehillim 130:1. Brachos 10b.
- [18]Shu"t Zeicher Yehosef E.H. (Machon Yerushalayim 5754) *siman* 50 pp. 189-90. This responsum was previously printed, with numerous variations, in Sdei Chemed ibid. p. 7 s.v. *Chazar haRav*.